Uralic Essive

Casper de Groot Universiteit van Amsterdam – ACLC

c.degroot@uva.nl

This paper wishes to contribute to the central topics of the workshop by discussing various formal and functional aspects of the *essive* in the Uralic languages. The *essive* can be considered a special feature of the Uralic case system; although only a part of present-day Uralic languages (mainly Fennic and Hungarian) have the *essive* in their case inventories. Some other languages may employ other case forms to serve as an essive, i.e. the *translative* (Khanty, Mordvin), the *locative* (Nothern Khanty), or *lative* (Mari). In Veps, the *essive* converged with the *genitive* and *accusative*.

The paper argues for the following points.

- (1) The status of the *essive* in Uralic is not unproblematic. First of all, the *essive* is not a type of case such as the *accusative* or *locative* which mark referential nominals, but rather a predicative marker.
- (2) The *essive* in Uralic is the marker of nominal or adjectival secondary predicates only. It does not apply to non-verbal main predications, and thus differs from predicative cases found in, for instance, Russian and Kolyma Yukaghir.
- (3) How to accommodate the *essive* in the cases systems of the Uralic languages? The name suggests that the *essive* is a spatial case. Moreover, the *essive* in Fennic originates from Proto-Uralic locative *-na. There is no straightforward explanation for the development of the *locative* into the *essive*.
- (4) The constituents marked by the *essive* form a sub-class of or they partially overlap the class of depictives. They can be set apart from converbal depictives which do not allow the *essive* (interestingly, there are many examples of converbs taking other cases).
- (5) The *translative*, also a marker of secondary predications which do not function as a depictive, may take up the *essive* interpretation.
- (6) The *essive*-phrase often allows for a manner interpretation, that is why several languages allow the co-ordination of the *essive* with a converb. Languages may even have minimal pairs of genuine *essive* opposed to *essives* with a manner interpretation.

These points define the area in which the *essive* could be studied: referential vs. predicational; secondary vs. main predication; spatial vs. non-spatial; *essive* vs. *translative*; depictive vs. manner.

Examples

Essive

Fin. Heikki on Jämsässä lääkärina

'Heikki is (working as) a doctor in Jämsä.'

Hung. Katonaként voltam Tallinnban.

'I was as a soldier in Tallinn.'

Saami guolle<u>n</u>

'as a fish'

Votic Elin setamehennä tallinnaza

'I was as a soldier in Tallinn.'

Translative

Khanty Ma luwe:l ma jike:mmi lu:nerle:m

'I consider him my son.'

Mord. Kudoks

'to (as) the house'

Coordination of essive & converb

Fin. vasynee<u>nä</u> ja jalkojaan oiko<u>en</u> 'tired and stretching his legs'

Essive versus manner

Hung. Pál mint tanár / tanárként dolgozik.

'Paul is working as / as if he is a teacher.'

Hung. Mari nyersen ette meg a halat. - Mary szépen énekel.

'Mary ate the fish raw.' 'Mary sings beatifully.'

Predicative case

Kolyma ūjs'ī omos'e šoromolek

'The workman is a good person.'

References

Abondolo, Daniel (1998), 'Introduction'. In: Abondolo ed. (1998), 1-42.

Abondolo, Daniel ed. (1998) The Uralic languages. London: Routledge.

Ariste, Paul (1968), A grammar of the Votic language. Bloomington: Indiana University Publications.

Creissels, Denis (2009) 'Spatial cases'. In: Malchukov & Spencer eds. (2009), 609-625.

Groot, Casper de (2008), 'Depictive secondary predication in Hungarian'. In: Schroeder et al. eds. (2008), 69-96.

Himmelmann, Nikolaus P. & Eva Schultze-Berndt eds. (2005), Secondary Predication and Adverbial

Modification. The Typology of Depictives. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Johanson, Lars (2009), 'Case and contact linguistics'. In: Malchukov & Spencer eds. (2009), 494-501.

Leinonen, Marja (2008), 'Depictive secondary predicates in Finnish'. In: Schroeder et al. eds. (2008), 167-187.

Leinonen, Marja (2009), 'On secondary predicates in Finnish and Komi'. *Wiener Slawistischer Almanach* Sondernband 73, 413-430. München – Wien.

Malchukov, Andrej & Andrew Spencer eds. (2009), The Oxford Handbook of Case. Oxford: OUP.

Maslova, Elena (2003), A grammar of Kolyma Yukaghir. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Moseley, Christopher (2002), Livonian. München: Lincom Europe.

Nichols, Joanna, (1978) 'Secondary predicates' Berkley Linguistics Society 4: 114-127.

Nikolaeva, Irina (1999), Ostyak. München: Lincom Europe

Raun, Alo (1988a), 'The Mordvin language'. In: Sinor ed. (1988), 96-110.

Raun, Alo (1988b), 'Proto-Uralic comparative historical morphosyntax'. In: Sinor ed. (1988), 555-571.

Schroeder, Christoph, Gerd Hentschel & Winfried Boeder eds. (2008), *Secondary predicates in Eastern European languages and beyond*. Studia Slavica oldenburgensia 16. Oldenburg: BIS.

Sinor, Denis ed. (1988), The Uralic languages. Description, History and foreign influences. Leiden: Brill.

Tauli, Valter (1966), Structural Tendencies in Uralic Languages. The Hague: Mouton.

Viitso, Tiit-Rein (1998), 'Fennic'. In: Abondolo ed. (1998), 96-114.

WALS: http://www.wals.info/